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Guaranteed Income to protect your 
DC plan against market declines

You and your plan participants need to know their retirement 
income is guaranteed. Prudential’s innovative fund option, 
Prudential IncomeFlex® TargetSM, combines the desired features 
of defined contribution and defined benefit plans:

• Simplicity of a target-date fund
• Protection against market downturns for retirement income
• A “pension-like” guaranteed lifetime income stream
• Access to principal

Prudential IncomeFlex Target will be 
available to ACEC Retirement Trust Plans early 2011.

THE ACEC RETIREMENT TRUST

• Oversight by the ACEC Board of Trustees
• Strong client satisfaction and retention rates
• Simplified investing solution through the GoalMaker® asset allocation program offered by Prudential Retirement®
• Guaranteed lifetime income option through Prudential IncomeFlex

To learn more about the benefits of the ACEC Retirement Trust, contact Nancy Barrette of Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC at 1-800-521-9463 or via email at nancy.barrette@wellsfargoadvisors.com

Prudential IncomeFlex® TargetSM funds are investment options available under group variable annuity contracts issued by PRIAC. PRIAC does not provide any guarantee of the investment performance or return of contributions to those separate accounts. PRIAC’s guarantee of certain withdrawals is supported by PRIAC’s general account and is contingent on its claims-paying ability. You should consider the objectives, risks, changes and expenses of the funds and guarantee features before purchasing this product. You should carefully review the Prudential IncomeFlex Target Important Considerations before purchasing this product. Product availability and terms may vary by jurisdiction. Subject to regulatory approvals. Annuity contracts contain exclusions, limitations, reductions of benefits and terms for keeping them in force. Contract form # GA-2020-TGWB3-0805 or state variation thereof.

Prudential, the Prudential logo, and the Rock symbol are service marks of The Prudential Insurance Company of America, Newark, NJ, and its related entities, registered in many jurisdictions worldwide.
POLITICAL SHIFT
What will the new Congress mean for engineers? Industry insiders handicap some of the most critical legislative issues.

FROM ACEC TO YOU
The new Congress and our industry’s agenda.

NEWS AND NOTES
Survey: Americans willing to pay more to fix crumbling water infrastructure; Iraq partners with Oregon officials to advance sustainability.

MARKET WATCH

LEGISLATIVE ACTION
President’s fiscal commission identifies needed measures; critical infrastructure initiatives to carry over to 2011; Congressional action urged on 3 percent withholding.

BUSINESS INSIGHTS
Know the legal risk exposures that could harm your firm; prospecting for good government projects; new professional designations MgtEng, ExecEng, MgtPro.

MEMBERS IN THE NEWS
Michael Kesler appointed chief operating officer at Kleinfelder; Barry J. Schulz appointed PBS&J Transportation Group president.

MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS
New feature looks at past and future M&A activity.
From ACEC to You

The New Congress and Our Industry’s Agenda

The new Congress will bring a more business-friendly approach to a number of key industry issues, but also a degree of uncertainty as budget-cutting pressures conflict with a need to boost critical infrastructure investments.

Bipartisan agreement in the closing days of December averted major tax increases that could have affected engineering firms and created further drag on the economy, an indication that—despite the partisan divide—both sides are capable of coming together to pass meaningful initiatives and promote economic growth.

Our message to Congress and the White House is very simple: More needs to be done to spur the economy and put America’s engineers back to work. ACEC will be working closely with lawmakers in the coming months to ensure that the nation’s recovery agenda includes a new six-year highway and transit program, multiyear aviation and water bills, and new bipartisan energy initiatives. The future holds some tough challenges, but ACEC is going to stay on offense and look for every opening to move your interests forward.

This issue of Engineering Inc. considers the opportunities and challenges we face in achieving meaningful progress with the new Congress. See page 8.

A new Mergers & Acquisitions column by Mick Morrissey, managing principal of Morrissey Goodale, also debuts in this issue. See page 32.

And don’t forget to plan now for the upcoming 2011 Annual Convention and Legislative Summit, March 30–April 2, in Washington, D.C. The industry’s largest and most influential legislative advocacy event comes at a critical time. Your participation can help achieve significant legislative “wins” for our industry and our country. See page 24.

Gerald Stump
ACEC Chairman

David A. Raymond
ACEC President & CEO
Find Your Critical Path to Success:
Risk Management With Lockton

Your most profitable projects are a solid combination of project management and risk management. Make risk and surety solutions from Lockton part of your equation.

With Lockton, you have the global resources of the world’s largest privately held insurance broker and the specialized talent of Lockton’s Design and Construction Team.

You have dedicated Associates providing you with competitive, intelligent and creative programs designed to ensure one thing: your success.

To learn more about Lockton’s design and construction expertise, contact Grover Simpson at 816.960.9114 or gsimpson@lockton.com.

www.lockton.com
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A majority of Americans say they are willing to pay significantly more in water bills to help repair the nation’s deteriorating water infrastructure, a new survey by the ITT Corporation shows.

The nationwide survey of 1,003 registered voters revealed that 63 percent of Americans are willing to pay an average of 11 percent more on their water bills each month to ensure consistent access to clean water. An additional survey of 502 industrial and agricultural businesses showed that 57 percent are willing to pay an average of 7 percent more per month for adequate supplies of water.

Applied across all American households, this increase is equal to $5.4 billion—or four times the FY 2009 federal investment in our nation’s drinking water systems, according to ITT.

“Water is one critical issue missing from the national infrastructure debate,” said Gretchen McClain, president of ITT Corporation’s Fluid and Motion Control business. “Yet, when presented with the facts, Americans recognize a looming crisis and are willing to pay their share to properly maintain the systems that bring clean water into their homes.”

The survey also found that:

• An overwhelming 95 percent of Americans rate water as “extremely important,” more than any other service they receive, including heat and electricity.
• Three in four voters (74 percent) and two in three businesses (68 percent) are concerned about the state of U.S. water infrastructure.
• 80 percent of voters say water infrastructure needs reform, and about 40 percent say “major reform” is necessary.
• 85 percent of voters and 83 percent of businesses agree that federal, state or local governments should invest money in upgrading water pipes and systems.

McClain said survey respondents recognize that the nation’s water distribution and treatment system is worsening under the combined pressures of population growth, urbanization and chronic underinvestment. Some realities of the current state of the nation’s water infrastructure include:

• The U.S. population has more than doubled since much of the water infrastructure system was first put in place, and in many areas, systems struggle to keep up with increasing demand.
• Every day in America, 650 water mains break—one every two minutes. These breaks and other leaks result in the loss of roughly 1.7 trillion gallons of water every year—enough to supply water to 68 million Americans, according to the U.S. Geological Survey.
• According to the Congressional Budget Office, the gap between what is needed to invest and what is actually invested in the nation’s water infrastructure is about $19 billion each year.

“We all have a role to play, starting with more efficient use and conservation of water,” McClain said. “Citizens and businesses need to understand that the delivery of clean water comes at a price, and we need to value that clean water accordingly. Government can enact environmentally effective, economically sustainable and fair water policies that ensure proper investment in the infrastructure for future generations.”

Iraq Partners With Oregon Officials to Advance Sustainability

Iraq has secured the assistance of the Oregon state government and Oregon State University (OSU) to implement sustainability in the reconstruction of its war-torn infrastructure.

In a Memorandum of Understanding signed in November by Oregon Gov. Ted Kulongoski and Iraq Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research officials, the Middle East nation confirmed its hopes for new infrastructure, along with development of sustainable engineering curricula and expanded research labs at Iraq’s 23 public colleges of engineering.

The agreement—the first of its type between the Iraqi government and any U.S. state government or university—was initiated by Iraq and also involves OSU and the Michael Scott Mater Foundation (MSMF), a nonprofit organization dedicated to building relationships between the United States and foreign nations.

“Iraq is one of many Middle East countries challenged with water, agriculture and infrastructure problems—and what is applied in one nation can be expanded for application in surrounding nations,” Kulongoski said. “Equally important in this partnership is that Oregon engineering students will get hands-on experience in learning how to apply sustainable engineering design in geographic places and in conditions they normally would not have the opportunity to learn about.”

The agreement builds on efforts by OSU and the MSMF to enhance Iraq’s infrastructure reconstruction efforts, such as coordinating Iraq engineering school faculty participation in several previous OSU-sponsored green building workshops.

The agreement includes a five-year implementation plan designed to meet the goals of the Iraq Ministry’s new National Education Program in Sustainable Engineering to implement the enhanced sustainable engineering education, research and outreach into all aspects of the nation’s rebuilding efforts.
Industry Projections: Look Beyond 2011 for Construction Rebound

Construction spending was down 11 percent in the first 10 months of 2010, with the nonresidential component plunging 26 percent, the U.S. Commerce Department reports.

Contracts for new construction—a key indicator of future activity—fell 3 percent overall (11 percent in nonresidential building) in the year’s first nine months, according to McGraw-Hill Construction (MHC).

What’s clear is (a) economic forecasting is more difficult than it was a few years ago; (b) construction is in an actual depression, not a recession; and (c) while everyone (forecasters, engineers, contractors and developers) suspects that the nation has already seen its construction bottom, no one is willing to project a full-bore recovery anytime soon.

If indicators of a construction turnaround are what you are after, you’ll want to look more closely at available data on the years beyond 2011.

McGraw-Hill Construction

As shown in Table 1, MHC’s economists recorded strong business from 2005 to 2007 and mediocre business from 2009 to 2011. MHC includes the Dodge unit, which tracks construction starts where “actual” numbers for past years closely reflect reality.

In its 2011 outlook, MHC drew a dividing line between its “starts” forecast and what’s likely to show up in the Commerce Department’s 2011 forecasts. Though there’s an 8 percent uptick in the dollar value of 2011 construction starts, Commerce’s “put-in-place” (spending) number is likely to be down 3 percent this year, MHC says.

The report notes that “for the closely watched nonresidential building sector in 2011, the construction start series has a 4 percent gain, while construction put in place will be down another 6 percent. In short, what’s expected to be a slow and extended recovery for construction starts will be even more so for construction put-in-place.”

MHC’s monthly “Forecast & Trends” piece can be accessed (free) at snipurl.com/1gO9yK.

Reed Construction Data

In a recent presentation, Jim Haughey, chief economist for Reed Construction Data (RCD), attempted to project 2011 Commerce Department numbers. RCD predicts more positive signs for 2011, though not big gains.

More compelling are Haughey’s projections for 2012, which indicate a gain of 12.8 percent in residential construction and a 13.4 percent surge in nonresidential construction. Sectors that RCD believes will do particularly well in the next two years include:

- Health care construction, up 7 percent in 2011 and 13 percent in 2012.
- Highway construction, up 7 percent in each of the next two years.
- Water/sewer, up 8 percent and 6 percent, respectively (after a 4 percent increase in 2010).

After recent down years and mediocre numbers for 2011, RCD projects that 2012 will be a great year for retail construction (up 12 percent), office construction (up 19 percent) and hotel construction (up 22 percent).

Associated General Contractors of America

Ken Simonson, chief economist for the Associated General Contractors of America, chimed in with 2011 forecast numbers during an RCD-sponsored webcast. His projections included:

- Nonresidential spending, flat to up 5 percent.
- Residential spending, up 5 percent to 10 percent.
- Total construction spending, up 3 percent to 8 percent.

FMI Corp.

Each quarter, FMI Corp. posts a “Construction Outlook” to its website, www.fminet.com. Not known as an economic shop, it projects four years ahead (see Table 2).


Joe Salimando writes on construction at www.electricalcontractor.com. Reach him at ecdotcom@gmail.com.
Legislative Action

President’s Fiscal Commission Report; Gas Tax Hike, Other Options Could Influence Future Budget Debate

While the chairs of President Obama’s National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform failed to achieve the super majority vote needed to force congressional action, options identified to reduce the deficit could be resurrected during the budget debate this year.

ACEC strongly endorsed elements of the plan that singled out infrastructure as critical investments in an era of constrained budgets. Transportation spending would be buoyed by a 15-cent increase in the gas tax, beginning in 2013, dedicated solely to transportation and eliminating the need for general fund bailouts.

“Even in a document that lays out very tough choices to cut spending and balance the budget, infrastructure investment is set aside as essential to future economic growth and competitiveness,” said ACEC President Dave Raymond. “Congress has heard this message many times already—we need to restore the solvency of the Highway Trust Fund and embark on a major building effort that will create jobs and economic growth, both now and for years to come.”

Consensus Energy Package Could Emerge in 2011

Energy supply and sustainability might be an area where the White House and the Republican-led U.S. House of Representatives can find common ground in the new legislative session.

One or more consensus energy bills could contain key provisions backed by ACEC, including: incentives for nuclear plant expansion; preventing burdensome restrictions on offshore drilling permits and fracturing of shale for natural gas; preemption of state authority over location of interstate transmission lines; incentives for renewable energy projects, including the potential adoption of a renewable or “clean” energy standard mandating that utilities obtain at least a portion of their energy supply from renewable sources (or “clean” sources, which could include nuclear energy and clean coal).

Congress Continues Effort to Repeal 1099 Filing Mandate

U.S. Senate efforts fell short in the closing days of the last session for the ACEC-backed repeal of a new federal IRS Form 1099 filing mandate, but congressional supporters have vowed to continue the repeal effort to prevent it from taking effect in 2012.

Under the new law, business purchases of goods and services valued at more than $600 annually from any vendor must be reported to the IRS.

Late last year, Senate Finance Committee Chairman Max Baucus (D-Mont.) announced his intent to repeal the mandate. His repeal legislation, as well as a similar effort led by Sen. Mike Johanns (R-Neb.), faltered in December over differences in how to pay for the repeal.

ACEC and coalition allies will continue working with Baucus and other lawmakers in the coming weeks to include a repeal provision in other legislation.
**Critical Infrastructure Initiatives Carry Over To 2011**

Despite progress in 2010, the major elements of ACEC’s infrastructure agenda—including passage of multiyear surface transportation, aviation and water infrastructure initiatives—will carry over into 2011.

Revenue shortfalls and financing options continue to be primary obstacles to a new six-year highway and transit program to replace SAFETEA-LU. Despite an endorsement of the president’s deficit commission, boosting the gas tax may not be politically viable, requiring Congress to turn to alternative proposals to supplement the Highway Trust Fund, such as the adoption of a National Infrastructure Bank, Public-Private Partnerships (P3s), tolling and other financing methods.

A reauthorization is also expected to include pilot projects to facilitate the transition from the gas tax to a vehicle-mile-traveled fee for funding transportation programs.

Congress came close to passing a new multiyear aviation program prior to adjournment last year, which might clear the way for early passage in 2011. ACEC is seeking increased funding for the Airport Improvement Program, raising the $4.50 cap on Passenger Facility Charges (PFCs) and applying Qualifications-Based Selection (QBS) to projects funded through PFCs.

Congress also made significant progress on major water infrastructure legislation in 2010, setting the stage for final approval this year. ACEC’s agenda in the water sector is threefold:

- Secure passage of multiyear legislation to authorize significant funding increases for water and wastewater projects under the Safe Drinking Water and Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs, and apply a QBS requirement to projects funded under the SRF program;
- Continue working with coalition partners to pass legislation that supports P3s for water infrastructure, including lifting the private activity bond cap for water projects, the creation of a water infrastructure bank and removal of regulatory barriers;
- Seek passage of a new Water Resources Development Act that provides new funding authorizations for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers water projects.

**Issues on the Move**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consensus energy legislation</th>
<th>Possible action on one or multiple bills by the summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highway, aviation and water bills</td>
<td>Aviation likely to move first</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 percent, 1099 repeal effort</td>
<td>Add repeal legislation to coming budget/tax legislation in the spring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9/11 Bill Passed**

ACEC and major contractor organizations succeeded in gaining final passage of key 9/11 liability reform legislation prior to adjournment in December.

The bill provides liability relief for engineering firms and contractors involved in the response and cleanup of the Ground Zero site following the 9/11 attack on the World Trade Center.

Separately, more than 95 percent of first responders who sued the city and Ground Zero contractors approved a settlement that provides compensation for their illnesses. Plaintiffs who agreed to the settlement must drop their lawsuits, and passage of the reform legislation will help resolve the remaining lawsuits.

**ACEC, AGC Urge Congressional Action On 3% Withholding**

ACEC and the Associated General Contractors (AGC) want congressional leaders to either repeal or delay implementation of the 3 percent withholding mandate as part of upcoming budget and tax bills expected to move through Congress.

The withholding mandate, which takes effect in 2012, will affect all contracts with federal, state and larger city governments, requiring those clients to withhold 3 percent of payments owed to engineering firms and other contractors.

ACEC and AGC had urged Congress to address the withholding problem in a larger tax package that extended the expiring tax-rate cuts on individual income, capital gains and dividends in the closing days of the last session. Congress opted to extend all of the tax rates for two years, but failed to address the 3 percent issue. ACEC and its business allies will continue to press for action early in 2011.

For More News

For weekly legislative news, visit ACEC’s Last Word online at www.acec.org.
As a new, presumably more business-friendly Congress convenes in Washington, several important infrastructure measures—including passage of multiyear surface transportation, aviation and water infrastructure initiatives—are under consideration, and billions worth of projects hang in the balance.

ACEC is backing a new six-year surface transportation program to replace SAFETEA-LU, which expired in 2009. Multiple short-term extensions have kept money flowing, but don’t address the revenue shortfalls that have stunted improvements to the nation’s transportation systems.

The House and Senate have adopted separate plans for a multiyear expansion of the Clean Water Act and Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs, which total $54 billion for water and wastewater infrastructure.

November’s midterm elections may have shifted the gavel of congressional power from one side of the political aisle to the other, but many of the same critical legislative initiatives for engineering that stalled in the previous legislative session remain on the docket for the new Congress.

Several important infrastructure measures—including passage of multiyear surface transportation, aviation and water infrastructure initiatives—are on the table in 2011, and billions worth of projects hang in the balance. Myriad tax issues with implications for firms’ financial health are expected to receive priority consideration.

The question for the engineering industry is this: Will a new, presumably more business-friendly Congress open the door for much-needed increased infrastructure funding?
Where Things Stand

The Deficit and Taxes

Scorecard #1: The Deficit and Taxes

The spiraling federal deficit and important business tax measures remain important topics for the engineering industry. The chairs of a White House-commissioned panel to study ways to reduce the federal deficit issued formal recommendations in December, advocating a mix of spending cuts and tax increases that drew support and criticism from Republicans and Democrats alike. The commission also recognized the need to make substantial investments in the nation’s critical transportation infrastructure, and urged a phased-in, 15-cent gas tax increase, beginning in 2013, to be dedicated solely to transportation.

Other tax issues include the Form 1099 provision in the new health care reform act—which various business groups, including ACEC, oppose—that would require businesses to report any purchases of goods or services worth more than $600 to the IRS. Also in the legislative crosshairs is repeal of a 3 percent withholding mandate that in 2012 would require federal, state and big-city governments to set aside payments on contracts with engineering firms and other service providers.

Possible Outcomes

Efforts to repeal the Form 1099 requirement failed in December 2010, but congressional supporters have vowed to continue the repeal effort and to prevent it from taking effect in 2012. ACEC and the Associated General Contractors are urging Congress to either repeal or delay implementation of the 3 percent withholding mandate as part of upcoming budget and tax bills expected to move through Congress this year.

Greg Ip, U.S. economics editor at The Economist and author of The Little Book of Economics: How the Economy Works in the Real World, outlined two possible outcomes on the overall tax debate. He notes that the best-case scenario is a comprehensive policy that provides additional stimulus funds for 2011 (added with) the extension of the Bush tax cuts to help the economy in the near term. “Then, over the next five to 10 years, bring in a serious deficit-reduction plan that addresses a mix of spending and taxes,” he says.

Most Imposing Hurdle

Political infighting. Elements of the deficit-reduction plan and revisions to the tax rates “in theory ought to be supportable by both parties, but they’re not getting much traction,” says Ip. “Rather than pass a comprehensive and sensible budget for the year, there will be another three-month stopgap measure. That might mean a whole year of this constant brinksmanship.”

Scorecard #2

Energy Legislation

Where Things Stand

Energy supply and sustainability might be an area where the White House and congressional Republicans find common ground. A decision by Congress to abandon controversial cap-and-trade legislation and other sweeping "energy
packages” could open the door for more limited energy proposals in the coming year. President Obama has publicly acknowledged that reducing carbon emissions could be done in “bite-sized pieces.”

Possible Outcomes

Bipartisan support might emerge for expanding incentives for nuclear energy and fossil fuel development, as well as domestic natural gas, balanced with additional incentives for renewable energy, including a possible requirement for utilities to derive a minimum percentage of their energy from renewable sources. Other proposals could include energy efficiency in buildings, support for electric-car manufacturing and overturning EPA’s Greenhouse Gas emissions regulations, along with expanding incentives for nuclear energy and fossil fuel development, and domestic natural gas in particular. There could be several opportunities for Republicans and Democrats to form coalitions that encompass regional and national energy issues.

“One would expect less direct federal financial incentives for wind, solar and other alternative energy projects,” says David Cobb, HDR Engineering’s national director of civic affairs. “I believe there will be a much greater emphasis on clearing the path for faster development of transmission and distribution systems since this is emerging as a significant impediment to the development of alternative-energy projects.”

ACEC promotes an “all of the above” approach that supports expansion of all domestic energy resources, including oil, gas, nuclear, coal, renewables and other resources, as well as modernizing the nation’s electricity transmission grid and deploying new technologies and efficiency practices.

Most Imposing Hurdle

Energy legislation could fall victim to a lack of bipartisan cooperation or the expected push to cut federal spending.

Scorecard #3:
Roads and Transit Systems
Where Things Stand

ACEC is backing a new six-year surface transportation program to replace SAFETEA-LU, which expired in 2009. Multiple short-term extensions have kept money flowing but don’t address the revenue shortfalls that have stunted improvements to the nation’s transportation systems.

In December, Congress approved an extension to fund federal highway and transit programs at current levels through March 4, 2011.

Possible Outcomes

“As an industry, we would much rather see a six- or 12-month extension versus a two-month extension,” Mortimer says. “When state DOTs see only a two-month extension, they are very reluctant to move forward with their full-year transportation plans.”

Beyond that, the prospects for a long-term authorization are muddied, at best, says Larry Shockley, vice president of government relations for Parsons Corp. “The overall economic environment at the federal level and state and local levels raises serious concerns about the availability of funding for a new long-term bill,” he says.

Rep. John Mica (R-Fla.), the new chairman of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, gave hope to the possibility of a longer reauthorization recently when he called a multiyear transportation bill a top priority. The Obama administration is also expected to include a reauthorization proposal in its F.Y. 2012 budget outline in February.

Because a gas-tax increase or other new financing is viewed as essential to passing a long-term transportation bill, ACEC is calling for bipartisan support to get an increase approved. “At this point, I think the best hope for a user fee increase will be in the context of a larger tax and/or budget deal, part of a ‘Grand Bargain’ between Democrats and Republicans,” says ACEC President David Raymond.

Plans to increase gas taxes garnered further support in December when co-chairs of a deficit-reduction commission recommended a 15-cent increase in the gas tax by 2015 to fund transportation projects.
Shockley, however, remains unconvinced. He cites a new Republican majority in the House that opposes fuel-tax increases and a lack of administration support as hurdles potentially too high to overcome. “Given those conditions, the best we may get is a shorter-term reauthorization (two years) at a reduced level of project spending,” he says.

**Most Imposing Hurdle**
Legislators must come to grips with how to adequately fund a multiyear bill. A key sticking point is whether the new Congress will raise user fees to support increased investments or opt for a scaled-down federal program under an austere budget.

**Scorecard #4: Aviation Infrastructure Where Things Stand**
Since 2007, no multiyear spending program has been in place to fund airports and improvement projects for runways and other facilities. Instead, Congress has authorized a series of short-term extensions.

The House and Senate have voted to expand federal Airport Improvement Program grants for aviation infrastructure, leading some observers to believe Congress is close to passing a final bill. Final hurdles include disputed provisions on labor laws covering FedEx, and the number of long-haul flights between Washington Reagan National Airport and the West Coast.

**Follow the Money**
Funding multiyear legislation for transportation and water projects has widespread implications for engineers and the economy as a whole.

- $1 billion invested in transportation infrastructure = 34,000 jobs
- $1 invested in highway and transit construction = $1.80 of near-term GDP
- $1 billion invested in water and wastewater infrastructure = 20,000+ jobs

Source: ACEC Fact Sheet

**Possible Outcomes**
“It all comes down to timing. ‘I think the best hope for a multiyear reauthorization was to conclude the current conference and pass the existing bill in the lame-duck session,’ Shockley says. ‘That was very doable, but in the new session of Congress, I would expect it to be a much lower item on the priority scale.’” ACEC will continue to advocate for increased funding for the Airport Improvement Program and raising the cap on passenger fees collected for airport projects.

**Most Imposing Hurdle**
The lingering disagreements over the number of cross-country flights in and out of Reagan National Airport and whether cargo carrier FedEx can continue to avoid being unionized—unlike its competitor UPS.

**Scorecard #5: Water Infrastructure Where Things Stand**
In 2010, the House and Senate adopted separate plans for a multiyear expansion of the Clean Water Act and Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs, which total $54 billion for water and wastewater infrastructure.

**Possible Outcomes**
Prospects for extending the SRF are good, but CDM’s Black doubts engineers will see funding increases in 2011 and says the

possible climate is too unpredictable to gauge whether the new Congress will pass a multiyear spending plan. Black expects no action on the House and Senate authorization bills until the White House releases its F.Y. 2012 budget proposal. “Congress will have its own ideas, but we don’t know quite where the House will be next year given the new leadership,” she says.

Given the nearly half-trillion-dollar funding gap between current investments and need over the next 20 years, ACEC continues to support a multiyear water program that includes reauthorization of the SRFs, a robust new Water Resources Development Act bill and public-private water funding alternatives.

On the question of earmarks, URS’ Mortimer doesn’t rule them out in practice, but thinks they could go under a different name, such as “congressionally directed spending.” “If Congress doesn’t allow earmarks, then the administration, through its budget requests, will be the one putting all the ‘earmarks’ in,” he says. “Maybe I’m just crusty after doing this for 20 years, but I find it hard to believe that a Republican House would allow a Democratic administration to fund programs only the way it sees fit.”

**Most Imposing Hurdle**
Earmarks. The term became a lightning rod during the midterm elections, with some politicians and voters considering earmarks to be synonymous with government waste. Black says the ability of Congress to authorize spending for individual projects is at the heart of many large-scale water projects, including U.S. Army Corps of Engineers programs.

“The organizations that will be adversely affected by a blanket earmark ban will be the state and local infrastructure organizations,” explains Shockley.

Alan Joch is a business and technology writer based in Franctown, N.H.
When You Need Professional Liability Protection, It’s Always Good to Have a PLAN.

Why ACEC members choose the Professional Liability Agents Network for their insurance needs:

- Access to exclusive professional liability and property/casualty coverage for A/E/E firms.
- Innovative risk management programs that earn continuing education credits.
- Valuable contract review and dispute resolution services.
- More than 20 years of experience helping design and environmental firms avoid losses and preserve client relations.

The personal service of one. The combined resources of many. That’s PLAN.
A Network of Brokers Dedicated to the Insurance Needs of Design Professionals

Insurance, Advice, Advocacy, Risk Management and Continuing Education for Design Professionals

a/e ProNet brokers offer extensive expertise in:

- Delivering competitive, lower premiums
- Providing Risk Management services after the sale
- Offering premium financing if needed
- Providing quotes from the majority of companies in the marketplace

Our highly seasoned brokers and agents have the expertise and the market relationships to find the best solution for even the most difficult to place risks.

Visit our new and improved website to download our quick reference guide:
Insurance 101 for Design Professionals: www.aepronet.org
The weak economy has led to lower Professional Liability Insurance (PLI) rates. According to the 2010 ACEC/NSPE/AIA Survey of PLI Carriers, new choices and competitive rates have helped firms better protect their interests in the midst of an uncertain business climate.

As many as 30 new insurance carriers entered the U.S. PLI market in 2010, bringing the total to more than 50. While a handful of firms continue to dominate the market, several smaller providers have joined the fray, offering price breaks and other incentives not consistently available through more established carriers.

New competition in the marketplace is keeping PLI rates low, though experts caution firms to thoroughly research the stability and reputation of prospective PLI carriers, and not to choose a policy based solely on price.

PLI carriers urge designers to adhere to core risk management principles—carefully selected clients, managed expectations, well-written contracts and accurate, well-managed accounts.

Takeaways

As many as 30 new insurance carriers entered the U.S. PLI market in 2010, bringing the total to more than 50. While a handful of firms continue to dominate the market, several smaller providers have joined the fray, offering price breaks and other incentives not consistently available through more established carriers.

New competition in the marketplace is keeping PLI rates low, though experts caution firms to thoroughly research the stability and reputation of prospective PLI carriers, and not to choose a policy based solely on price.

PLI carriers urge designers to adhere to core risk management principles—carefully selected clients, managed expectations, well-written contracts and accurate, well-managed accounts.
Bob Coleman, president of a/e ProNet and Professional Underwriters, Inc., says some newer carriers—many of which might "buy their way" into the market with low premiums—also offer "well-seasoned" underwriters and claims handlers, and make for an attractive alternative for firms looking for discounts or those priced out of more expensive plans.

In addition to lower costs, carriers have added flexibility on a range of issues, from deductibles to billings rates to project insurance. What's more, few issues have cropped up as a result of the economic downturn to potentially drive rates higher, explains John Farrar, vice president of Clark Dietz, who helped oversee the survey.

Tom Bongi, president of Catlin Design Professional, says "with all the PLI choices out there, it's worth at least exploring what options are available."

Business Picking Up?
Not that firms need to be in too big of a hurry. With so many carriers vying for market share, industry watchers say rates likely will stay low—at least for the near term.

Dan Bradshaw, president of the Professional Liability Agents Network (PLAN) and owner of the Benchmark Insurance agency in Utah, says many carriers consider offering PLI to design firms to be attractive because they can collect income on premiums without having to pay client claims for one to two years.

That's good news, especially as designers look for work to begin picking up again in 2011. While some firms weathered the downturn with federal stimulus funds or large project backlogs, others are in urgent need of new contracts.

Jeff Connelly, a vice president with Marsh and the sales leader of the ACEC Business Insurance Trust (BIT), is seeing "lots of requests for higher limits" on projects, especially public ones, and coverage requests from startup engineering firms. ACEC BIT is also fielding more requests from firms that had previously dropped their PLI policies.

More Flexibility
Some PLI carriers have changed how they calculate premiums. Victor O. Schinnerer & Co., for example, examines current rather than past billings, says Kate Enos Frownfelter, senior vice president and program construction manager. Schinnerer has also lowered its standard premiums, and most firms have lower deductibles, keeping in line with lower revenues. The carrier has also reduced its threshold for allowing firms to pay premiums through a no-interest installment plan and will consider lowering limits to help maintain coverage, she says.

But with added flexibility may come risk. Carriers occasionally offer deductibles that are too low, leaving the designer without "skin in the game, such that the focus gets taken off risk management," explains Bongi. The deductible should "give the design professional pause" and encourage error prevention, rather than be accepted as a cost of doing business, he says.

Underwriter Dana Hughes of Beazley, which uses a three-year average of fees to determine its rates, says her firm considered the possibility of a more flexible rate base, but found through a survey that 80 percent of claims are made a year after a project gets under way, confirming its use of the fee-average approach. Travelers also decided against deviating from its weighted three-year average of billings. Managing director John Rapp says today's billings generate tomorrow's claims.

Most PLI carriers report a stable and steady frequency of claims, but caution that claims are developing more quickly and with increasing severity.

Al Rabasca, director of industry relations for XL Design Professional, says as long as finance and credit markets remain tight, "claimants will make larger demands more quickly in order to salvage projects." Where it used to take three to five years for claims to develop, claims now develop in two to four years on average, he says.

Tim Corbett, founder and president of SmartRisk, a risk management consultancy, conducted a survey last year of 20 law firms representing 292 lawyers specializing in design and construction litigation, which shows that the frequency and severity of claims is on its way up. Plaintiffs reportedly are "much more aggressive over smaller amounts," Corbett says, and filing claims sooner than they used to. Corbett sees downsizing as one factor in these changes.

Beazley's Hughes says technical errors and omissions are on the rise—a potential consequence of fewer eyes to review projects. Such errors can be costly, she says, particularly when the insurer admits that its client is responsible.

Problem Areas
One of the largest and potentially most troublesome concerns is the propensity for some design firms to "go bare," or cancel their PLI, says Farrar of Clark Dietz. Such a decision could result in a gap in coverage, leaving firms unprotected for certain projects.

It's "an incredibly significant issue," says Schinnerer's Frownfelter—and one that is increasingly affecting larger firms. Through "vicarious liability," subcontractors without PLI transfer their liability upstream to contractors and designers that do. To limit vicarious liability, Schinnerer offers an underwriting credit to firms that request certificates of insurance from contractors.

Building construction, particularly housing,
Characteristics in the Premium Determination Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>AXRECO</th>
<th>Beazley</th>
<th>Catlin</th>
<th>Euclid</th>
<th>Hanover</th>
<th>Insight</th>
<th>Lexington</th>
<th>Liberty</th>
<th>R&amp;MCO</th>
<th>State Farm</th>
<th>Travelers</th>
<th>VOCSO</th>
<th>XL</th>
<th>Zurich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location of firm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of projects</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of practice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of projects</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual billings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims history</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm experience</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2010 ACEC/NSPE/AIA Professional Liability Insurance Survey of Carriers

Continues to generate the most claims. Claims involving high-end custom homes are rising, while claims on condos and schools continue to be high. XL’s Rabasca says residential owners tend not to be as experienced and to have higher, more unrealistic expectations than those involved in commercial projects.

Geotechnical and structural engineers are often targeted for claims. PLAN’s Bradshaw says geotechnical engineers get blamed for any crack that develops in a building. Rather than simply provide a report, Bradshaw recommends that geotechnical engineers insist that “they be paid to do the full scope of services,” including overseeing excavation and backfill to ensure contractors follow their recommendations.

Some carriers also voiced concerns about the steady “erosion” of PLI rates. As new competition drives rates lower, Hughes says carriers have a responsibility to balance the need for market share against “knowing when to walk away” from a potentially risky client.

**Green, BIM, IPD**

Carriers have not seen the increase in claims they expected from integrated project delivery (IPD), Building Information Modeling (BIM) or sustainable design. Still, they caution designers to carefully manage client expectations on green projects and avoid providing guarantees.

Bongi says Catlin is “embracing green design” by providing premium credits to firms that provide it. Though the firm still monitors green products for potential problems, he says, sustainable designs are not the wildcard they once were in the minds of insurers.

Rabasca urges designers to get involved in building code development, particularly as sustainable concepts are worked into mandatory federal and local standards. The International Green Construction Code—which Rabasca expects will become mandatory on many building sites once it is finalized—is under development at the same time that the U.S. Green Building Council is reviewing its popular LEED certification program, California is developing its own sustainable design code, and ACEC is collaborating with the American Public Works Association and the American Society of Civil Engineers on a new voluntary tool to rate the sustainability of an infrastructure project.

Most carriers offer technology coverage as part of their basic policies or as an inexpensive endorsement to such policies. XL, for instance, includes BIM in its definition of professional services, which is covered under its standard policy. Travelers offers “cyberliability coverage,” which covers liability for computer viruses, denial of access and unauthorized access to networks and computer systems, and the failure to protect confidential information.

IPD, however, is more challenging, says Rabasca, largely because of the partnership it necessitates between owner, designer and contractor. He urges designers to “work with an insurance professional” to determine whether an IPD project is covered. IPD can blur traditional lines between design and construction recognized by existing contracts and case law, so traditional insurance products “do not necessar-
ily address all the risks,” adds Bongi.

Looking Ahead
Carriers say the current PLI market isn’t likely to change until engineering and design firms get more work. But they urge designers to prepare for that eventuality. Like most markets, they say, the PLI market is cyclical. “Firms with a really good loss history will be able to maintain a stable program,” explains Beazley’s Hughes, “while those with claims and losses will be affected more in the next few years.”

Carriers interviewed for the survey ranked client selection and well-written contracts as the most important ways to manage risk. Where contracts used to focus on who does what and how companies get paid, Bongi says clients now use these documents as “a wholesale risk-shifting mechanism” written to put as much onus as possible on the designer.

PLI experts advise engineering firms to stay on top of billings, especially for cost overruns and change orders on projects with tight budgets or low-bid contractors. Think twice before filing a fee claim, they say—and if you do, expect a counterclaim alleging negligence.

SmartRisk’s Corbett advises designers to be “cautious” and to forge a long-term partnership with a carrier with experienced product line managers and underwriters. Homer Sandridge, vice president of Travelers, counsels engineers to “look hard at a prospective carrier’s attributes and use Qualifications-Based Selection to choose a provider.”

With so many new carriers entering the PLI market, Bradshaw says “chaos” could result from a sudden contraction, especially if smaller providers cannot sustain current rates. Carriers will usually exit the market systematically with advance notice, but design firms could find themselves suddenly without PLI if their carrier becomes insolvent. In either case, he says, firms looking for new coverage will face “an insurance sellers’ market,” not the buyers’ market that exists today.

To best prepare for the future, PLI carriers also urge designers to adhere to core risk management principles: carefully selected clients, managed expectations, well-written contracts and accurate, well-managed accounts. As design work picks up in the coming months, these and other time-tested principles should help minimize the risk that often accompanies higher revenues.

The results of the 2010 ACEC/NSPE/AIA Professional Liability Insurance Survey of Carriers are available on the Risk Management page of the ACEC website.
Take control of your future...

with Professional Liability Insurance through the ACEC Business Insurance Trust.
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Effective communication is the key to successful project management

By Gerry Donohue

Takeaways

- Good project managers are competent in every phase of the project process: planning, estimating, design, finance and client management.
- Communication is key to effective project management. The best managers integrate input from the client and from different team members to keep the project moving forward on time and on schedule.
- One consequence of a project manager’s inability to effectively communicate is “scope creep,” or a gradual increase in the project’s scope because of client changes without increases in the budget.

The summary of required skills for a project manager at an engineering firm reads like a wish list for the perfect employee.

Project managers must be competent in every phase of the project process: planning, estimating, design, finance and client management. Managers need to foresee potential problems and respond quickly to unanticipated challenges. And they have to keep their eyes on the big picture, even while managing minute details.
As if it weren’t already difficult enough to be a project manager in today’s market, increasingly complex designs, narrower margins, tighter regulations and progressively more demanding clients make the job ever more challenging. Expectations are high across the board.

“It’s not a question of whether we want our project managers to beat the budget or meet the schedule or hit some quality level,” says Bill Howard, executive vice president of CDM in Cambridge, Mass., and a former ACEC chairman. “We want it all.”

“It’s a daunting job,” says William Ramroth, author of Project Management for Design Professionals. “Project managers have to know enough about architecture, structural, civil, mechanical, electrical engineering, etc., to know how the different disciplines are interrelated, and how each logically plays off the work of the others.”

But the best project managers are also masters of another fundamental skill. “It all comes down to communication,” says John Langhans, project manager at MSA Professional Services, a 270-person firm with a dozen offices in the Upper Midwest. “It is the critical component in successful project management.”

Delivering the Project

If sales and marketing teams generate the work, project managers are the life force that keeps firms strong—and clients coming back.

“We put the financial health of the firm in the hands of project managers,” says Howard. “If they are delivering projects on time, on budget and to the satisfaction of customers, we have a very successful firm.”

“Firms looking to promote someone to project manager tend to choose a PE who is really terrific at solving technical problems,” says Isaacs, a division manager of architecture & engineering services at FMI Corporation, who works out of Walnut Creek, Calif. “But when you draw a relationship chart for a project, the project manager is at the center. They have to communicate with everyone—the team, senior management, client, subcontractors, regulators, zoning people, code people—yet, we commonly put people in that position who are much more comfortable sitting in front of a screen doing technical work.”

If project success were determined by project completion alone, that would make sense, but Ramroth says project management requires much more.

“Meeting all the project participants’ expectations is the most challenging goal of project management,” he says. “It is also the most subjective, with the most diverse and elusive requirements. It’s ironic that it just might be the most important goal.”

Given the number of hands involved in these projects, meeting expectations can sometimes seem impossible.

“Clients, design firms, project managers and design team members approach a project with differing expectations,” Ramroth says. “The project manager has to work with all of them, bringing them together to identify common goals and to agree on what defines project success. To do that, you need good communication skills.”

As part of its recent Lake Delton project—a 2010 ACEC Engineering Excellence Awards Honor Award winner—MSA engineers worked with a team that fast-tracked the rebuilding of a dam, highway and other infrastructure destroyed after torrential rains breached the shoreline of the man-made lake and drained 600 million gallons of water in less than two hours. Project managers communicated with the team daily—and often more frequently, says Langhans.

“We focused on upfront communication,” he says. “We kept everyone informed about what was happening all the time, so when there was a hiccup, we had the buy-in we needed to keep the project on track.”

Maintaining Relationships

MSA employs a relatively standard project management system, with several dozen project managers operating under senior firm management. Project managers lead the team and are the primary client contact.

Other firms use differ-
ent project management structures. Some large firms use the Customer Service Manager (CSM) model, in which the CSM is the primary client contact.

“CSMs have a different skill set than project managers,” says CDM’s Howard. “Project managers are good from a technical standpoint and the CSMs are better at sales, at maintaining relationships with the client and with the stakeholders.”

While Ramroth says the CSM model has advantages, especially in firms that are big enough to carry such a specialized position, he points out that a project’s communication requirements extend beyond the client.

“Someone has to lead the team, to let them know what is expected, and to define what the client’s needs are,” he says. “It’s the project manager’s job to push information down to the trenches so they can do the work.”

Degenkolb Engineers, a 160-person structural engineering firm with six offices on the West Coast, designates the firm’s two dozen principals as its project managers.

“We use the terms interchangeably,” says Stacy Bartoletti, president and COO of Degenkolb. “Our principals and associate principals are heavily involved in project management, not only in business development, but in the technical responsibility of doing the project, leading the team and coordinating with the client.”

Learning to Communicate

Communication isn’t easy, especially for many engineers, says Isaacs. “These skills don’t come naturally to a lot of technical people,” he explains. “But they can be taught.”

He lists four communication skills that successful project managers must have in today’s market:

• **Team building**—Getting both internal and external people to work together effectively.
• **Collaborating**—Working with people and through people to solve problems.
• **Facilitating**—Leading groups and meetings with a clear agenda and achieving results based on that agenda.
• **Negotiating**—Achieving a resolution that satisfies the firm’s interest.

“The problem is that we still spend most of our effort training project managers on how to do the numbers, understand the contract and maintain a schedule,” Isaacs says. “All of those are essential, but they are not enough.”

Halting Scope Creep

One of the biggest consequences of a project manager’s inability to effectively communicate is “scope creep,” or a gradual increase in the project’s scope because of client changes without commensurate increases in the budget.

“Typically a client incrementally makes small changes, and the project manager agrees to them for no charge,” says Ramroth. “Eventually, the project manager realizes that the project scope has significantly expanded, but he has no way to pass on the costs to the client.”

That’s where better communication can help. “With the first change, even if it’s small, the project manager must communicate with the client that you’re going to do it, but just this once, and then confirm in an e-mail,” he says. “When the next one comes along, remind them of the first one and explain that the scope now has to change. Because you’ve been up front with them, they’ll usually understand.”

FMI’s Isaacs says there are seven simple words that can stop “scope creep” in its tracks.

“When the client asks for something out of scope, turn to them and say, ‘How do you want to handle that?’ Typically, they will ask what you mean. Now you have the opportunity to address the issue of scope and budget.”

The importance of strong communication has prompted many firms to emphasize the skill in project management education. And every firm has its own process.

Degenkolb has a well-defined career track for project managers, says Bartoletti. “It’s still technically oriented, but we emphasize planning and negotiating skills, giving and receiving feedback, and working together to solve problems.”

MSA relies on a combination of training and mentoring. “We have a very strong internal training program, but we also allow people to go outside the company for training if it’s warranted,” says Langhans, an inaugural member of ACEC/Wisconsin’s Engineering Future Leaders program.

As a team leader, Langhans directly mentors two other project managers and has several mentors who help him in his own career.

“It’s a great way to learn about what makes for successful project management,” he says. The most important key to remember: “Communicate, communicate, communicate.”

Gerry Donohue is ACEC’s senior communications writer.
ACEC Annual Convention and Legislative Summit

March 30–April 2, 2011
Grand Hyatt Hotel
Washington, D.C.

Opportunities & Challenges

The New Congress and the Future of the Industry

Join ACEC at its Annual Convention and Legislative Summit, March 30–April 2, where top congressional leaders will outline the dynamics of the new Congress and what it will mean for the engineering industry. Find out about new market opportunities from federal agency leaders. Gain valuable insights on future industry trends and challenges from key engineering firm CEOs. Take advantage of first-class business education sessions to help boost your firm’s profitability.

GENERAL SESSION LUNCHEON
BOB WOODWARD
AN INSIDER’S VIEW OF THE PRESIDENCY

Award-winning author and investigative journalist Bob Woodward will provide a unique perspective on the Obama White House.
OPENING GENERAL SESSION
“POLITICAL DYNAMICS OF THE NEW CONGRESS”
Congressional leaders outline the new Congress and what the latest changes mean for the future of our industry.

Speaker of the House
John Boehner
(invited)

Transportation and Infrastructure Chair
John Mica (invited)

Tom Davis

PREMIER LEGISLATIVE SUMMIT AND FEDERAL MARKETS CONFERENCE
• Lobby New Congressional Members on Infrastructure, Water, Transportation and Other Key Business Issues
• Attend Congressional Briefings
• Meet With Key Federal Officials
• Gain Insights on the New Congress From Former U.S. Representative Tom Davis
• ACEC/PAC Fundraiser With Key Congressional Members
• Business Opportunities with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NAVFAC, GSA, Energy Department, Veterans Administration, State Department and Homeland Security
• NEW! Federal Markets Large Firm/Small Firm Teaming Forum

INSIGHTS FROM INDUSTRY LEADERS
• Keynote by AECOM CEO John Dionisio on “Vision for 2020”
• General Session CEO Panel on “Industry Trends and Challenges” with Robert Gomes, Stantec, Inc.; Ann E. Massey, MACTEC, Inc.; and Paul A. Yarossi, HNTB Corporation
• CEO Roundtables
• 13 Seminars to Boost Profitability
• Special Briefing on New Infrastructure Sustainability Rating System

John Dionisio
CEO, AECOM

Robert Gomes
CEO, Stantec

Ann E. Massey
CEO, MACTEC

Paul Yarossi
President, HNTB

ENGINEERING EXCELLENCE AWARDS GALA
EMMY AWARD-WINNING HOST
Known as the “Academy Awards of the engineering industry,” this annual black-tie reception, dinner and awards program celebrates the year’s most outstanding engineering achievements.

RECEPTION AND DINNER
THE CAPITOL STEPS
The Capitol Steps return to the Annual Convention to perform their all-new show—“Politics as Unusual!”—a satirical look at today’s political scene.

CONVENTION INFORMATION
EARLY REGISTRATION FEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FULL CONVENTION</th>
<th>EARLY BIRD</th>
<th>AFTER 2/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>$995</td>
<td>$1,075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd + Member, same firm</td>
<td>$850</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Member</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
<td>$1,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse/Guest Fee</td>
<td>$385</td>
<td>$385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOTEL INFORMATION
Convention activities will be held at the Grand Hyatt Washington, located at 1000 H St., NW, between the White House and Capitol Hill and easily accessible by Metro.

The ACEC room rate is $290, single/double occupancy, plus 14.5 percent tax. The hotel reservation deadline is Friday, Feb. 18. After this date, rooms may not be available or will be available at a higher rate. Rooms are available until the cut-off date or until rooms in the ACEC room block are gone, whichever occurs first.

To make your hotel reservation online, visit www.acec.org and click on ‘hotel,’ or call toll-free: (888) 421-1442 and reference ACEC Annual Convention.

To register, or for more Convention information, e-mail meetings@acec.org or visit www.acec.org.
Know the Legal Risk Exposures That Could Harm Your Firm

Engineering firms must constantly sidestep contractual pitfalls that can negatively affect a project’s profitability and a firm’s bottom line.

Using EJCDC contracts—time-tested contract models where a full range of contingencies are addressed—can offer more protection during dispute resolutions than nonstandard contracts.

But what do you do when faced with a nonstandard contract that, for whatever reason, you must sign? Bottom line—you still must know the various legal exposures that, left unaddressed, can damage your firm.

ACEC has developed a new course to help firms identify those critical legal and contracting issues—Ten Major Legal Issues Facing Engineers—to be held May 12–13, 2011, in Philadelphia. Specific areas of risk to be addressed include:

- Health insurance
- Public/private partnerships
- Required and voluntary disclosure and privacy issues
- Licensure issues
- Indemnification
- Economic loss doctrine
- International issues
- Directors’ and officers’ issues
- Insurance
- Complex contracting provisions (such as BIM) and litigation

Visit our online Events Calendar, www.acec.org/education, for more information or contact Ed Bajer at ebajer@acec.org.

Prospecting for Good Government Projects

ACEC’s Market Forecast Series presents valuable, up-to-date contracting opportunities from federal agency officials who provide insights on agency budget outlooks and modifications in procurement procedures.

These 90-minute online seminars offer information on how to do business and win projects with federal agencies and various private-sector owner representatives. Agencies represented may include: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, General Services Administration, U.S. Air Force and others.

The first in this series for 2011 will be An Inside View of the Programs and Funding of USDA’s Rural Development by Ben Shuman, senior environmental engineer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Feb. 16. The mission of Rural Development (RD) is to fund projects and increase economic opportunities in rural areas. In that role, RD distributes more than $1.5 billion annually in loans and grants for:

- Water and wastewater projects
- Environmental projects
- Electrification
- Telecommunications
- Renewable and energy efficiency programs
- Bio-energy and bio-based projects
- Health clinics
- Emergency service facilities

Visit our online Events Calendar, www.acec.org/education, for more information and to register.

New Professional Designations ExecEng, MgtEng, MgtPro

ACEC officially launched new management and leadership designations at the October Fall Conference.

Past ACEC Chairman Edward J. Mulcahy says he’ll use his recently awarded “ExecEng” designation “with great satisfaction and pride,” and John R. Fee, ExecEng, says “this is an honor I very much appreciate. I am very impressed that ACEC has initiated such a practice.”

The Executive Engineer (ExecEng), Management Engineer (MgtEng) and Management Professional (MgtPro) designations recognize business achievement. Individuals who qualify for the ExecEng are licensed professionals with 15 to 20 years of management experience who have acquired 300 professional development hours (PDHs), or a master’s degree plus 200 PDHs, as well as a leadership capstone course, such as the ACEC Senior Executives Institute.

The Management Engineer, or MgtEng, designation is for licensed engineers who have seven to 10 years of management experience and have achieved 150 PDHs, or a master’s plus 50 PDHs.

Professionals who earn the MgtPro are not PEs, but have seven to 10 years of management experience related to the engineering industry, as well as 150 PDHs, or a master’s degree plus 50 PDHs.

For more information about how to apply or for a list of new designees, visit www.acec.org/education/designations or contact Maria Buscemi at 202-347-7474, ext. 323, or at mbuscemi@acec.org.

The ACEC Institute for Business Management provides comprehensive and accessible business management education for engineering company principals and their staffs.

Visit ACEC’s online educational events calendar at www.acec.org/calendar/index.cfm or bookstore at www.acec.org/publications, or call 202-347-7474, ext. 338, for further information.
Advance yourself and your FIRM with ACEC’s educational programs & publications!

UPCOMING ACEC COURSES:

Green Infrastructure and Sustainable Communities
Feb. 1-4 – San Antonio, TX

The Business of Design Consulting
May 4-7 – Las Vegas, NV

Ten Major Legal Issues Facing Engineers
May 12-13 – Philadelphia, PA

For more information on these and other upcoming seminars and webinars, go to www.acec.org/education and to check out products at the ACEC Bookstore, go to www.acec.org/publications
Members in the News

On The Move

AMEC appointed Dr. Hisham Mahmoud president of its Earth & Environmental business. Mahmoud replaces Roger Jinks, who is retiring from the firm.

J. Bryan Jordan was appointed president and CEO of Houston-based Jones & Carter, Inc., where he succeeds J.R. (Bob) Jones, who will continue as chairman of the board of directors. Formerly, Jordan managed the Houston Metro Region of Jones & Carter. Jordan is also president-elect of the Houston Chapter of the Texas Council of Engineering Companies for 2010–11.

KCI Technologies, Inc., appointed Executive Vice President Harvey M. Floyd and Senior Vice President Joseph J. Siemek to its board of directors. Floyd is chairman of ACEC/PAC and treasurer of ACEC/ Maryland’s Political Action Committee. Siemek leads the firm’s Telecom/Utilities discipline.

Michael Kesler has been appointed chief operating officer at Kleinfelder, where he has spent 14 years with the firm in a diverse set of technical, operational and market-development roles.

Parsons appointed retired Maj. Gen. (USAF) Earnest O. Robbins II president, Parsons MENA+ (Middle East, North Africa and the northern Mediterranean Sea border countries), succeeding Jeffrey F. Squires, founding president.

PBS&J, an Atkins company, appointed Barry J. Schulz the company’s Transportation Group president. Schulz started his career at PBS&J in 1998 and has held a number of key roles at the firm.

Brig. Gen. Alexander Kozlov, U.S. Army Reserve, joined Parsons’ Infrastructure & Technology group as vice president, supporting the firm’s projects in the Pacific Rim. He is based in Guam.

Lee Parrish has joined the firm as vice president and chief information security officer. Leonard D. Dorr was appointed vice president and power delivery and renewables sector manager of the Energy, Life Sciences & Industrial Division for the firm’s Commercial Technology group.

Steve Noonan was named assistant vice president in Parsons Brinckerhoff’s (PB’s) Newark, N.J., office, where he will manage PB’s Northeast Rail Vehicle Group.

Borton-Lawson appointed four new vice presidents: Mark Boris, vice president, transportation services; Scott Bush, vice president, structural services; David Summers, vice president, automation; and Mike Wilk, vice president, civil business unit.

Michael A. Lucki joined CH2M HILL as senior vice president and chief financial officer.

David A. Boaté has joined Gannett Fleming as vice president and director of transit and rail for the Northeast region and will be based in the New York City office.
Welcome New Member Firms

| ACEC/Az

  Ai Field & Associates, LLC, Phoenix |
  Gutierrez-Palmenberg, Inc., Phoenix |
| ACEC/Ar

  CWB Engineers, Inc., Heber Springs |
| ACEC/Ak

  CWB Engineers, Inc., Heber Springs |
| ACEC/Ca

  Anchor Engineering, Inc., Lafayette |
  Khazra & Associates, Inc., Los Angeles |
  Lenax Construction Services, Inc., Los Angeles |
  MARRS Services, Inc., Fullerton |
  Phoenix Civil Engineering, Inc., Ventura |
| ACEC/NM

  Source Technologies, LLC (DBA STC Engineering), Albuquerque |
| ACEC/Ne

  C. V. Associates NY; PE, LS, PC., Harriman |
  Hudson Valley Engineering Associates, PC., Beacon |
| ACEC/No

  Engineered Designs, Inc., Raleigh |
  Genesis Engineering, Inc., Matthews |
| ACEC/Ka

  J2 Engineering & Environmental Design, LLC, Olathe |
  SMH Consultants, Manhattan |
| ACEC/Lo

| ACEC/Mi

  LOTUS Engineering, Inc., Ann Arbor |
| ACEC/Mo

  ABNA Engineering, Inc., St. Louis |
| ACEC/Wi

  Osborne Engineering, Inc., Madison |
  Saga Environmental & Engineering, Inc., Jefferson |
| ACEC/Fl

  Apollo Engineering, LLC, Apollo Beach |
  Tamayo Engineering, LLC, Miami |
| ACEC/Ii

  Midwest Engineering and Testing, Inc., Champaign |
| ACEC/Ka

  J2 Engineering & Environmental Design, LLC, Olathe |
  SMH Consultants, Manhattan |
| ACEC/Su

  Wiser Company, LLC, Columbia |
| ACEC/Co

  Forcier Consulting Engineers, P.C., Colchester |
| ACEC/Wi

  H. C. Nutting Company, Charleston |
  Triad Engineering, Inc., Morgantown |
| Texas/CE

  Bryant Consultants, Inc., Carrollton |
  JRB Engineering and Testing, LLC, Houston |
  Schneider Engineering, Ltd., Boerne |
  Simon Engineering & Consulting, Inc., Frisco |


James D. O’Reilly was also appointed vice president and west region manager of the firm’s Buildings Division for its Commercial Technology group. He will be based in Pasadena, Calif.

Carol Benassi
Since the ACEC Job Board’s inception in August of 2005, over 2,000 member firms have posted job openings and more than 17,000 job seekers have posted resumes. Find your next new hire at:

www.acec.org/jobbank/index.cfm

FMI is pleased to have served as advisor on the following transactions:

FMI’s Investment Banking group is a leading middle market investment bank serving the engineering and construction industry, including architectural, engineering and planning firms. We have been serving the design and construction market for more than 50 years. It is our sole focus. We have closed over 600 transactions, with an aggregate transaction value in excess of $15 billion. We offer:

- Seller and buyer representation
- Capital structuring and sourcing and other capital markets advisory services
- Valuations and fairness opinions
- Ownership transfer planning
- ESOP planning

For more information, contact:

Michael Landry at 303.398.7283  mlandry@fminet.com
Hunt Davis at 919.785.9212  hdavis@fminet.com
or visit FMI’s website at www.fminet.com.

Check out the ACEC Bookstore during March to save 20% on CASE documents & publications!

www.acec.org/publications
## Calendar of Events

### JANUARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Responding to Requests for Discounts or Unilateral Fee Reductions (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Engineering Opportunities in the Upcoming Urban Water Crisis (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-March 27</td>
<td>Green Buildings and Preparing for LEED Green Associate Exam (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEBRUARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>Green Infrastructure and Sustainable Communities: Opportunities in Expanding Markets, San Antonio, Texas (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wired to Clients: Insights On Getting Inside Your Customers' Minds for Success in Business Development (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BIM for Bosses (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>An Inside View of the Programs and Funding of Rural Development, U.S. Department of Agriculture (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>The Perfect Storm: A Bad Lawsuit; A Bad Contract; Indemnification and the Duty to Defend (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MARCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>DOE Energy Efficiency Block Grant Funding: Market Opportunities in a $3 Billion Program (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-April 2</td>
<td>ACEC Annual Convention &amp; Legislative Summit, Washington, D.C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APRIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Recommended Practices for Engineering Expert Witnesses (online seminar)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information on all ACEC activities is available at www.acec.org.

---

**LET Engineering Inc. WORK FOR YOU**

With an ad in *Engineering Inc.* you can:

- **REACH** your clients and colleagues.
- **ENHANCE** business prospects.
- **THANK** those important to your success.

Contact ACEC Director of Advertising Nina S. Goldman at 202-347-7474, by e-mail at ngoldman@acec.org, or visit the website at www.acec.org/directories/advertise.cfm.

---

To sign up for ACEC online seminars, go to [www.acec.org/education](http://www.acec.org/education).

---

Convenient online and distance education that fits into your busy career.

**Graduate Degrees and Certificates**

- Biomedical Engineering
- Civil Engineering
- Mechanical Engineering
- Systems Engineering
- Applied Industrial/Organizational Psychology
- Business Administration
- Computer Science
- Green Building
- Statistics

**www.CSUACEC.com**
CONSULTING ENGINEERING Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) got off to a slow start in 2010. The volume of deals in the first quarter was down some 6 percent over the same period in 2009, and the early part of the year provided no hint of the action that was to follow.

By mid-2010 and in quick succession, we witnessed a number of game-changing deals, including the acquisition in May of transportation engineering firm LPA, Inc. (Moon Township, Pa.); AECOM Technology Corporation’s (Los Angeles) July acquisition of Tishman Construction Corp., a New York-based construction management services firm; and the October acquisition by WS Atkins plc (Epsom, U.K.) of The PBSJ Corporation (Tampa, Fla.). It seemed suddenly that the whisperings of potential mega-deals in advance of the possible expiration of the Bush tax cuts were coming to fruition. As a result, third-quarter M&A activity spiked 24 percent over the same period the prior year. And it wasn’t just the big players. Deals were taking place all across the country (see map for state-by-state overview of M&A activity through November 2010), with total deal activity globally up some 5 percent over 2009.

The New Year
Looking to 2011, we anticipate the following themes will play out across the consulting engineering industry, providing opportunities and challenges for ACEC Member Firms:

- **Increase in M&A activity:** It is anticipated that the pace, which fueled the sharp 2010 third-quarter increase in deal activity, will play out through the fourth quarter. For 2011, we anticipate an overall year-over-year increase in M&A activity of approximately 15 percent.

- **Continued influx of overseas capital:** Overseas buyers will acquire between 30 and 40 U.S. consulting engineering firms over the next 12 months (up from a projected 30 this year and 19 in 2010).

- **Investment in U.S. firms:** We anticipate five of these deals will involve firms with more than $50 million in revenue. These acquisitions will be long-term investments to position the buyers for the inevitable rebound in the U.S. A/E/C market and opportunities presented by the inevitable rise of the public-private partnership model in the United States to develop and rehabilitate infrastructure and facilities. We anticipate that one or more of these major acquisitions will involve a Chinese or Korean buyer.

- **A return to growth-driven deals:** One effect of the recession is that it has compelled many struggling firms from the same state to combine to survive. As the economy rebounds and private money re-enters the market, we anticipate more cross-state growth-focused deals (at around 60 percent of the total) taking place.

### 2010 Merger and Acquisition Activity through 11/12/10

© Morrissey Goodale LLC 2011 All Rights Reserved
We insure professional liability and property casualty, and we keep you safe from big bad wolves.

No matter what your materials are, your building has to stand. And sometimes it has to withstand the unexpected. Travelers understands, and has both professional liability and property casualty lines of insurance tailored specifically for engineers. And because our underwriters specialize in underwriting engineering risks, your coverage will evolve alongside your most complex projects. For more information on Travelers insurance for engineers, contact your independent agent or call 443-353-2253. Suddenly, those big bad wolves aren't so big, or so bad, after all.
You and your employees can also get:

- Access to a nationwide network of more than 617,000 physicians and health care professionals, plus 5,010 hospitals and 60,000 pharmacies, so you can get care wherever it’s convenient for you

- A vast product portfolio of traditional and consumer-driven health plans, including Health Savings Accounts and Health Reimbursement Accounts

- Strength in numbers, in that coverage is provided to an exclusive group of engineering peers and member firms frequently pay less than the average cost of insurance in their area

- Dedicated sales, service and wellness representatives

The American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC), the ACEC Life/Health Insurance Trust and United HealthCare Insurance Company are three separate legal operating entities and, as such, the organizations are governed and function independently. UnitedHealthcare’s services are provided with the authorization of the ACEC Life/Health Trust. Questions related to health benefits offered through the Life/Health Trust should be directed to 1-800-573-0415.